## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20554

Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary

In the Matter of

| Description of the Pay Telephone | Description of the Pay Telephone | Description of the Pay Telephone | Description of the Telecommunications | Description of the Pay Telephone | Description of the Pay Telephon

### COMMENTS OF ONCOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Oncor Communications, Inc. ("Oncor"), by its attorneys, hereby submits its comments on the Comparably Efficient Interconnection ("CEI") Plan for pay telephone service, filed by Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies ("Bell Atlantic") on January 6, 1997.<sup>1</sup>

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Oncor is a provider of interstate interexchange operator-assisted (0+) calling services. Oncor provides 0+ services from Bell Operating Company ("BOC") public payphones, including payphones in Bell Atlantic's local exchange service territories. Oncor is the presubscribed carrier serving such payphones pursuant to agreements entered into with payphone location providers (*i.e.*, the owners of the premises where the payphones are located). Oncor competes with other service providers to be the presubscribed carrier at BOC payphones pursuant to the premises owner selection plan established in 1988 by the U. S. District Court for the District of Columbia.<sup>2</sup> Before enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act"),<sup>3</sup> which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Public Notice, "Pleading Cycle Established For Comments on Comparably Efficient Interconnection Plans For Payphone Service Providers," DA 97-31, released January 8, 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See United States v. Western Electric Company, 698 F. Supp. 348 (D.D.C. 1988).

added Section 276 to the Communications Act ("Act") — and the Commission's recent promulgation of rules to implement Section 276 — the BOCs, including Bell Atlantic, were excluded from any participation in the payphone interexchange carrier selection process. Their only role was to implement the Presubscribed Interexchange Carrier ("PIC") selections made by payphone location owners.

Section 276 mandates the reclassification of BOC payphone service and requires the Commission to promulgate regulations for that purpose. The issues of whether BOCs, including Bell Atlantic, should be permitted to participate in the payphone interexchange carrier selection process, and what conditions should apply to their participation, are addressed by Section 276(b)(1)(D) of the Act. That provision obligates the Commission to establish regulations that:

provide for Bell operating company payphone service providers to have the same right that independent payphone providers have to negotiate with the location provider on the location provider's selecting and contracting with, and, subject to the terms of any agreement with the location provider, to select and contract with the carriers that carry interLATA calls from their payphones, unless the Commission determines in the rulemaking pursuant to this section that it is not in the public interest;<sup>4</sup>

In its <u>Payphone Reclassification Order</u>,<sup>5</sup> the Commission concluded that allowing BOCs to negotiate with location providers regarding the selection of interLATA service providers from the BOC payphones on their premises would serve the public interest. This determination by the Commission fundamentally changes Bell Atlantic's and other BOCs' roles in the PIC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Pub. Law. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>47 U.S.C. § 276((b)(1)(D).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Report and Order, FCC 96-388, released September 20, 1996; Order on Reconsideration, FCC 96-439, released November 8, 1996 ("Payphone Reclassification Order").

selection process from payphones in their regions, and changes those companies' incentives in fulfillment of their current responsibilities.

Significantly, the Commission's determination to allow BOCs, including Bell Atlantic, to negotiate with location providers is not unconditional. Rather, that permission is expressly conditioned on each BOC submitting to the Commission and obtaining Commission approval of a CEI plan.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, Oncor urges the Commission to carefully evaluate the Bell Atlantic CEI plan to ensure that it contains adequate safeguards against anticompetitive conduct by Bell Atlantic.

The Commission Must Ensure that Bell Atlantic's Payphone CEI Plan Contains Protections Against Discrimination by Bell Atlantic Regarding Selection of Interexchange Service Providers and Against Mismanagement by Bell Atlantic in Its Role as PIC Administrator for Payphones in Its Region

Oncor has reviewed Bell Atlantic's payphone CEI plan from its perspective as a company which competes in the market for operator-assisted interexchange services from payphones, including local exchange carrier payphones. Based upon that review, Oncor is concerned that the Bell Atlantic CEI plan does not contain provisions which will either restrain anticompetitive behavior by Bell Atlantic in the negotiations with location owners regarding interexchange services from Bell Atlantic payphones, or protect the integrity of the payphone PIC selection and ordering processes.

In the Payphone Reclassification Order, the Commission stated that:

. . . a location provider's ability to choose should be protected from unjust and unreasonable practices which seek to foreclose meaningful choice. Such practices include unreasonable interference with pre-existing agreements between location providers and payphone service providers or carriers, or conduct

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Payphone Reclassification Order, supra at ¶¶ 237, 239.

which is unduly coercive of the location provider's right to choose the carrier for payphones on its premises. Such conduct may violate Section 201 of the Act, which proscribes unjust and unreasonable practices by common carriers.<sup>7</sup>

The entirety of Bell Atlantic's payphone CEI plan is directed to so-called "equal access" parameters governing interconnection services to other payphone providers. In other words, Bell Atlantic's CEI plan is limited to the payphone services market. In reviewing the plan, Oncor was dismayed and disappointed to discover that nothing in the plan addresses Bell Atlantic's ability to discriminate or to exploit advantages it enjoys as the steward of the PIC ordering process in the solicitation of interexchange carrier agreements with payphone location providers, including owners of premises where Bell Atlantic payphones are located, or in the implementation of PIC selections for lines associated with Bell Atlantic payphones. Bell Atlantic's plan is silent in this regard despite the Commission's express recognition of the importance of meaningful consumer choice in interexchange service provider selection.

It is imperative that Bell Atlantic's payphone CEI plan contain adequate and appropriate safeguards to avoid skewing the fairness of the presubscribed carrier selection process. In this regard, it is critical to recognize Bell Atlantic's role, not only as an entity which will be competing with interexchange carriers and other third parties with regard to location provider contracts, but also as the entity which acts as the order processing agent for all interexchange carriers' PIC changes. Once Bell Atlantic becomes allowed to negotiate with payphone location owners regarding the selection of interLATA carriers from payphones, Bell Atlantic will be both a competitor and the administrator of the PIC ordering process. Because these dual roles will place Bell Atlantic in an inherent conflict of interest situation, it becomes critical that its CEI

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Payphone Reclassification Order, supra at ¶ 242.

plan contain sufficient safeguards to protect against Bell Atlantic acting in its role as PIC administrator in a manner which undermines competition in the marketing of interexchange services from payphone locations.

In order to comply with the letter and the spirit of the Commission's CEI requirements for BOC payphone services, Bell Atlantic's CEI plan must contain specific provisions which address the following critical points:

- 1. Describe in detail how Bell Atlantic will manage the payphone PIC selection and order implementation process;
- 2. Describe how Bell Atlantic will ensure that all PIC orders obtained pursuant to Bell Atlantic agreements with location owners will not be treated differently than those obtained by other vendors, and that all valid PIC orders and location provider agreements will be honored and will not be subject to interference by Bell Atlantic or anyone else;
- 3. Describe how Bell Atlantic marketing and sales personnel will be trained and supervised to ensure that they do not misrepresent Bell Atlantic's role in the payphone PIC selection process;
- 4. Describe how Bell Atlantic personnel involved in the PIC ordering and implementation processes will be trained and supervised to ensure that they do not abuse their roles in the PIC ordering process so as to interfere with the sales and marketing of interexchange services from payphones.

Bell Atlantic's payphone CEI plan contains no provisions which in any way address Bell Atlantic's role in the PIC selection process from payphones. Nothing in the plan will protect the public, including competing providers of interexchange services from payphones, against the improper efforts by Bell Atlantic to select — and perhaps ultimately to provide — interexchange services from Bell Atlantic payphones. Neither does the plan address how Bell Atlantic intends

to fulfill its responsibilities as the PIC order administrator. That will be a critical aspect of Bell Atlantic's payphone plans in light of its impending opportunity to negotiate with location providers regarding PIC selections.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, Oncor objects to Bell Atlantic's payphone CEI plan for its exclusion of any provisions to ensure that Bell Atlantic will neither discriminate against competitors in the selection of interexchange services from its payphones nor mismanage the PIC ordering process in its role as PIC administrator throughout its service areas. For the reasons stated in these comments, Oncor respectfully urges the Commission to require Bell Atlantic to modify its payphone CEI plan, as described in these comments, to provide sufficient safeguards for the interexchange services market from payphones and the payphone services market itself. Such changes to the CEI plan should be made by Bell Atlantic -- and approved by the Commission following public comment -- before Bell Atlantic is allowed to negotiate with payphone location providers regarding the selection of interexchange services, pursuant to Section 276(b)(1)(D) of the Act and the Payphone Reclassification Order.

Respectfully submitted,

ONCOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

J. Breek

Mitchell F. Brecher

Loretta J. Garcia

FLEISCHMAN AND WALSH, L.L.P. 1400 Sixteenth Street, NW

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 939-7900

Its Attornevs

February 7, 1997

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Antoinette R. Mebane, a secretary at the law firm of Fleischman and Walsh, L.L.P., hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing "Comments of Oncor, Inc." in Docket 96-128, was served this 7th day of February, 1997, upon the following:

#### **VIA HAND DELIVERY:**

Regina Keeney Chief, Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 500 Washington, DC 20554

John B. Muleta Chief, Enforcement Division Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, NW, Room 6008 Washington, DC 20554

Michael Carowitz, Esq. Enforcement Division Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, NW, Room 6008 Washington, DC 20554

Ms. Janice Myles Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 544 Washington, DC 20554

ITS Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 246 Washington, DC 20554

#### **VIA REGULAR MAIL:**

Cecelia T. Roudiez
Lawrence W. Katz
Attorneys for Bell Atlantic
Telephone Companies
1320 North Court House Road
Arlington, Virginia 22201

Antoinette R. Mebane